- 1 Q Did you think it was necessary to get good
- engineering advice from him to be as accurate as possible?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Mr. Turro, I'll show you something.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: When you said -- testified about
- 6 Herman Hurst, would that include Mr. Hidle too?
- 7 THE WITNESS: I dealt probably 99 percent of the
- 8 time with Herman. One percent of the time with Mr. Hidle.
- 9 But I would treat Mr. Hidle the same way I would treat Mr.
- 10 Hurst.
- 11 BY MR. NAFTALIN:
- 12 Q Did you deal with Mr. Hidle before this hearing
- 13 today?
- 14 A Not that I recall.
- 15 Q Mr. Turro, I am putting in front of you a piece of
- 16 equipment which we will wish -- you were ill and weren't
- 17 here yesterday was put in front of Mr. Hidle yesterday.
- Do you recognize this piece of equipment?
- 19 A I most certainly do.
- 20 Q What is it?
- 21 A it's a phase cancellation device that I purchased
- 22 awhile ago.
- 23 Q Is that the phase canceler discussed in your
- 24 supplemental exhibit, which is Turro Exhibit No. 32?
- 25 A The one and only.

- O Okay. That's the exact same one?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Why don't you read the serial
- number into the record so that we know it's the same serial
- number as the one that Mr. Hidle read into the record?
- 7 THE WITNESS: Okay, I believe the serial numbers
- 8 is, is that the model or the serial number on here? Oh, it
- 9 would probably be model No. 2903; serial number 54/108
- 10 10/94.
- 11 BY MR. NAFTALIN:
- 12 O And the model number and manufacturer?
- 13 A 2903, made by Microwave Filter Company.
- 14 Q Is there anything on this that would -- well, when
- 15 did you obtain this piece of equipment?
- 16 A Some time in October of 1994.
- 17 Q Okay. Is there anything on that piece of
- 18 equipment which might confirm that?
- 19 A There is a date stamp on it of 10-94.
- 20 Q Okay. And what does that date stamp mean?
- 21 A Either when it was manufactured or purchased or
- 22 shipped.
- Q Okay. Oh, one more question for the Judge.
- You testified you had to go up there occasionally
- and adjust this thing?

- 1 A Um-hmm.
- 2 Q What did you do?
- 3 A Just turned the knobs maybe an eighth of a turn,
- 4 if that much.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, so what Mr. Turro was
- doing he was turning a knob called "Phase 1," and a knob
- 7 called "attenuator" a little bit. They are two separate
- 8 knobs.
- 9 MR. NAFTALIN: Attenuation.
- 10 JUDGE STEINBERG: Attenuation.
- 11 MR. NAFTALIN: Thanks.
- 12 (Pause.)
- BY MR. NAFTALIN:
- 14 Q Mr. Turro, you have entertained a number of
- 15 questions about the letter you sent in, sent to the FCC in
- 16 1991, seeking a ruling about whether you could enter into an
- 17 arrangement with a primary station as a translator operator.
- Mr. Turro, did you set out to deceive the FCC when
- 19 you wrote that letter?
- 20 A No.
- 21 O Did you try to pull the wool over the FCC's eyes
- or hide, in your mind, did you try to hide any important
- facts from the FCC to try and get something out of the FCC?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q When you received the November 1991 letter from

- 1 Mr. Roy Stewart, the chief of the Mass Media Bureau, in
- 2 response to your own letter, did you rely on that letter
- 3 later on?
- 4 A Yes.
  - 5 Q Did you rely on it when you entered into the
  - 6 arrangement with MMBI?
  - 7 A Yes.
  - O Okay. Did you honestly believe that you were
  - 9 acting within the terms of that letter written by Roy
- 10 Stewart?
- 11 A Yes.
- MR. NAFTALIN: One moment, please.
- 13 (Pause.)
- MR. NAFTALIN: Your Honor, that concludes my
- 15 questioning. Thank you.
  - JUDGE STEINBERG: Any recross?
  - MR. ARONOWITZ: I have -- very quick.
  - 18 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
  - BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
  - Q Mr. Turro, going back to Mr. Riley's line of
  - 21 questioning with regard to MMBI's ability to preempt, reject
  - or delete programming under the network affiliation
  - 23 agreement.
  - 24 If MMBI effectively rejected all programming,
  - would they still be entitled to compensation?

- 1 A I would have to sit down and negotiate with them 2 to clear the network commercials then.
- O Okay. So, in other words, MMBI -- when you say
- 4 "clear the network commercials," what do you mean?
  - 5 A The commercials that -- if they deleted the
  - 6 musical programming and everything else, they would have to
  - 7 clear the network commercials.
  - JUDGE STEINBERG: By "clear" meaning air them --
  - 9 THE WITNESS: Broadcast them.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: -- over the air?
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
- BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
- 13 Q But would you still be required to pay them -- is
- 14 it your belief that you would still be required to pay them
- 15 the entire network --
- 16 A Oh, yes.
- 17 Q Okay. If the programming was deleted, and I think
- we will use Mr. Riley's example of, I think he said two
- times a week, even if he didn't, let's say two times a week
- 20 MMBI decided they don't want Jukebox Radio --
- 21 A I don't remember Mr. Riley saying that.
- 22 Q I think it was in terms of a hypothetical.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Why don't you start another
- 24 hypothetical.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Let's start it again.

1	BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
2	Q If MMBI deleted programming or otherwise rejected,
3	preempted, whatever words you want to use, and let's say
. 4	they did it, for purposes of this question let's say they
5	decided two times a week, Mondays and Wednesdays they
6	weren't going to carry the programming.
7	MR. NAFTALIN: Objection. Do you mean all day?
8	Five seconds? What are you talking about?
9	MR. ARONOWITZ: All day.
10	MR. NAFTALIN: All day.
11	JUDGE STEINBERG: That's the way I interpreted it.
12	MR. ARONOWITZ: Just a hypothetical.
13	MR. NAFTALIN: I don't know what time.
14	JUDGE STEINBERG: I think he said Monday and
15	Wednesday.
16	MR. ARONOWITZ: Just Monday and Wednesdays. Just
17	Monday and Wednesdays they are not going to do it.
18	BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
19	Q What would go out over the translators?
20	A Whatever they are broadcasting.
21	Q Okay. With the network arrangement that you have
22	with and I say "you" or companies controlled by you if

the network arrangements that you have with MMBI were found

to be improper, is somehow contrary to the FCC's rules, is

it your understanding and belief that MMBI would still be

23

24

25

- 1 entitled to network compensation?
- 2 A Could you repeat the question, please.
- Q If the network arrangement were found to be
- 4 illegal under the FCC's rules, is it your understanding that
- 5 MMBI would still be entitled to network compensation?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 O Okay. With respect to Mr. Naftalin's question
- 8 with respect to the increase in the network compensation,
- 9 and I believe you said that you had discussions with Mr.
- Weis with respect to that, did the two of you negotiate a
- figure or did Mr. Weis just tell you this is what he was
- going to do, this is what it was going to cost, and this is
- how it would affect the network compensation?
- 14 A I remember the specifics of the conversation, and
- it probably went something like this, "I would like to put a
- generator up there. And if I put it in there, would that
- 17 benefit you?"
- And I said, "It most certainly would. It would
- protect my equipment." And he said, "Well, it will run you
- about \$100 a month more for your affiliation agreement."
- Now, I'm talking very loosely here because i don't
- really recall the conversation specifically.
- So I said, "Yeah, \$100 a month is going to make or
- 24 break the bank. That's not a problem by me."
- O Okay. Hopefully, this will be a great wrap up

- 1 question. After two weeks of sitting in this room, and in
- 2 light of what you have read and what you've heard, is there
- anything -- is there anything that you feel that you could
- 4 have done to be more candid with the Commission either
- during inspections or letters of inquiry at either of those
- 6 times?
- 7 MR. NAFTALIN: Objection. Are you talking about
- 8 remote possibilities? Or I mean, anything is possible. We
- 9 have been through this.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Well, do you want me to get
- 11 specific?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Sure, get specific.
- MR. NAFTALIN: Yes, get specific.
- 14 BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
- 15 Q Is there anything that you might -- well, well, we
- were talking about surge protectors for electricity before,
- 17 let's talk about surge protectors for inspections. And you
- 18 would walk about -- that was an attempt at humor. Very
- 19 weak.
- During any discussions that you may have had with
- 21 Mr. Loginow during any of the inspections -- this would be
- 22 April, this would be when you talked with him on the phone,
- 23 and it would be August, I believe, you spoke with him -- is
- there anything in retrospect you think you could have said
- 25 to him that could have brought a better understanding as to

- what was going on at the time?
- 2 MR. NAFTALIN: Objection. It's calling for
- 3 speculation. He would have to read Mr. Loginow's mind to
- 4 know what Mr. Loginow would better understand.
- 5 BY MR. ARONOWITZ;
- 6 Q Is there anything you wish you had said to Mr.
- 7 Loginow?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I don't think you want to ask
- 9 that.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: All right. Let's leave it alone.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I don't think we want that in
- 12 the record.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: All right.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: This is a family hearing.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you for reading my mind, Your
  - 16 Honor.
  - MR. ARONOWITZ: I'll leave it alone.
  - JUDGE STEINBERG: I'm good at that too.
  - MR. ARONOWITZ: I have no more questions, but you
  - 20 may want to ask Mr. Helmick.
  - JUDGE STEINBERG: I think I will. Thank you.
  - MR. ARONOWITZ: Okay.
  - JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Helmick?
  - MR. HELMICK: No, Your Honor.
  - JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Riley?

MR. RILEY: No, Your Honor. 1 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, you are excused, Mr. 2 Turro, and you may resume your normal life. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 4 (Witness excused.) 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: And why don't we go off the 6 record very briefly because we have to talk about findings 7 and conclusions. 8 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) 9 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, we are on the record. 10 While we were off the record, we discussed dates 11 for proposed findings and conclusions. And it was agreeable 12 that proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law will 13 be due on February 27, 1998, and replies thereto will be due 14 on March 20, 1998. There is flexibility within those dates. 15 And if you want to make the findings later and leave less 16 time for replies, agree among yourselves and let me know. 17 You know, don't just file a motion. See if you can reach an 18 agreement and then let me know, and I'll go along with it. 19 You can file joint findings if you want, anybody. 20 If you and Mr. Riley want to file joint findings on the 21 transfer control issue --22 MR. NAFTALIN: Okay. 23 JUDGE STEINBERG: -- fine. If you want to file 24 separate findings, fine. If the Bureau and Universal want 25

- to file joint findings on part of the thing or the whole
- 2 thing, fine. I don't care.
- As I said off the record, I would rather have good
- 4 findings than bad findings. And in this regard, before you
  - pick up the pen to write, I want you to go back to the
  - 6 transcript, it should be pretty easy findings because it
  - 7 will be the last couple of pages, and reread what I have to
  - 8 say. I want your findings to be complete. That is, I want
  - 9 both sides to be -- there are going to be two sides in any
- story in this case, and I would like the findings to reflect
- 11 both sides. I want the good facts in there as well as the
- 12 bad facts. i want the findings to be objective. I don't
- want you to draw conclusions in your findings. Findings are
- 14 these are what the facts are.
- Now, you can explain to me the -- the bad findings
- would be so and so testified this. The good finding will
- be, you know, this, without labeling it so and so testimony,
- however os and so testified, the other way.
- This is going to sound stupid but I want the
- 20 findings to be accurate so that if I am reading a sentence
- 21 and the sentence says A, B, C, D, and then there is a
- 22 transcript citation, make the transcript citation either
- each sentence or each group of sentences relating to the
- same thing; not at the end of the paragraphs. That's just
- 25 not good. So write findings A, B, C, D or E, and the

- transcription reference or a citation to the record, I'm
- going to go read that, and if I find A, B, E, and I don't
- find C, D, you are not going to have a happy judge. Believe
- 4 it or not that's happened, and you get the quickest way to
- 5 make your findings and you're going to lose credibility with
- 6 me is if you put stuff in there that's not on the pages you
- 7 say they are or that's not in the record. I can understand
- 8 typos. Try to keep them to a minimum.
- 9 Try to use the language that the witness used
- 10 rather than paraphrase it. Use the words that came out of
- the witness's mouth because I check everything, and if you
- paraphrase in a way that matters, I'm going to change it
- 13 back, and that takes time.
- When you get to your conclusions, that's when you
- 15 can editorialize on phrases. You can tell me -- that's
- where you explain away the bad facts. You tell me why they
- are not bad, or why they shouldn't be considered, that I
- shouldn't give them any weight, or how they can be
- rationally explained away, and how your opponent, you know,
- 20 is the worst creature in the world.
- Make your case citations accurate, and if you are
- citing to a page in the case, I would appreciate the page.
- 23 Anything else. Okay, replies, I will tell you
- that I like my replies. Some judges don't ever read
- replies, and I'll tell you I rely on replies heavily. I'll

- love replies provided that they are done the right way. And
- what I consider the right way is basically a reply would say
- in paragraph such and such of the Bureau's proposed
- findings, or Mr. Turro's proposed findings it is stated.
- 5 Don't paraphrase. This is incorrect because, and then you
- tell me why it's incorrect. The case is no good. The case
- 7 is indistinguishable. On cross-examination, the answer was
- 8 changed, et cetera. Just item by item specific specificity.
- 9 This is wrong because on such and such and such a place they
- 10 said this. You can't give that any weight because, things
- like that. You don't have to -- don't reargue what you
- argued in your findings because I am going to read the
- findings and you are just wasting time and space, and I'm
- 14 not going to pay much attention to reargument. It's just a
- 15 waste.
  - If you distinguish cases, if there are cases that
  - aren't reliable, tell me why they are not reliable.
  - 18 Any questions?
  - 19 (No response.)
  - JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, no questions, good.
  - Now, while we were off the record I was informed
  - that Mr. Riley found a complete copy of what was in evidence
  - 23 as Turro Exhibit 37, and Turro Exhibit 37 was a -- there was
  - 24 a portion of the May 1, 1995, application filed by MMBI.
  - 25 And so what I have decided is to make the complete version

1	of that, the complete application Turro Exhibit 37A, and let
2	me identify it for the record.
3	If you recall, it's a complete May 1, 1995,
4	application filed by MMBI, and it's 10 pages in length, and
5	that will be identified as Turro Exhibit 37A.
6	(The document referred to was
7	marked for identification as
8	Turro Exhibit No. 37A.)
9	JUDGE STEINBERG: And has everybody seen it? What
10	I want to do is ask if there are any objections to the
11	receipt of it into the record.
12	MR. ARONOWITZ: We looked at it yesterday.
13	JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Helmick?
14	MR. HELMICK: No, Your Honor.
15	JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Riley?
16	MR. RILEY: No, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, so 37A will be received.
18	(The document referred to,
19	having been previously marked
20	for identification as Turro
21	Exhibit No. 37A, was received
22	into evidence.)
23	MR. NAFTALIN: Your Honor, could we pause for one
24	second?

JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes.

25

1	(Pause off the record.)
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes, you give that to the
3	reporter. She will put a sticker on it. Then you've got
4	permission to withdraw it and make copies and mail them to
5	everybody.
6	MR. NAFTALIN: Thank you.
7	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, now, we've got the photos.
8	I wouldn't mind well, this is going to be it's not
9	going to be a problem, but you haven't had them developed
10	yet?
11	MR. NAFTALIN: No, I have not.
12	JUDGE STEINBERG: But subject to their being
13	developed and subject to their coming out, does anybody have
14	any objection if today I assign numbers to them and receive
15	them? Actually, how can I receive something that's not in
16	existence, but let me assign numbers to them. We will call
17	Turro Exhibit 38 the photos of the first demonstration,
18	whatever you want to call that.
19	MR. NAFTALIN: Okay. The Monticello
20	demonstration?
21	MR. ARONOWITZ: The Monticello component of the
22	demonstration.
23	JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, whatever the first

24

25

demonstration.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

MR. NAFTALIN: We took pictures of the Monticello

- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes, those go with Chart 1.
- MR. NAFTALIN: Okay, but -- no, wait a minute. I
- 3 see. Exactly. Right.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes, so that will be, you know,
  - 5 the first set up, the first way that the equipment was set
  - 6 up.
  - 7 Turro Exhibit 39 will be the photos of the second
  - 8 set up or the second demonstration.
  - 9 MR. NAFTALIN: The Fort Lee demonstration.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes. And Turro Exhibit 40 will
- 11 be the photos of the phase canceler.
- And when you get those ready, I don't know how
- many photos of each of those -- well, the phase canceler
- will be several, and the other demonstrations, it would
- 15 depend on however -- there might be just one for each. Just
- 16 package it up and attach it to a motion to me to receive the
- 17 additional exhibits or something.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Not that I would even suggest that
- 19 I would oppose such a thing.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Oh, no, it was just --
- MR. ARONOWITZ: It would be pursuant to the
- 22 Judge's order here is?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: No, because I've got to grant
- 24 the motion. They have to to get formally identified. For
- instance, I don't know how many photos there are --

1	MR. ARONOWITZ: Okay.
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: in demonstration one. I
3	mean, that's my problem today. I would do it today if I
. 4	knew.
5	MR. NAFTALIN: You've got to see them.
6	JUDGE STEINBERG: But I have got to see them to be
7	able to intelligently identify them.
8	MR. NAFTALIN: But it will be the 38, 39 and 40
9	we've just discussed.
10	JUDGE STEINBERG: Right, 38, 39 and 40, and then
11	you package them up the way you want them, distribute them
12	and attach them to a motion. If there is no objection, then
13	I will grant the motion and at that point you will be
14	directed to send an original and a copy to the reporting
15	company.
16	MR. NAFTALIN: Okay. One motion for all three
17	exhibits, is that okay?
18	JUDGE STEINBERG: Sure. Okay.
19	MR. ARONOWITZ: Is that exclusive of the charts?
20	MR. NAFTALIN: The charts are already marked.
21	JUDGE STEINBERG: The charts are already marked
22	and introduced.
23	MR. NAFTALIN: And we are going to shrink them

MR. ARONOWITZ: Shrink them down.

24

25

down.

- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Here is your "to do"
- 2 list, Mr. Naftalin.
- MR. NAFTALIN: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Turro Exhibit No. 33, you need,
  - 5 that was Chart 1.
  - 6 MR. NAFTALIN: Chart No. 1.
  - JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. So you've got to get that
  - 8 back to the reporter. You've got to get the original and a
  - 9 copy back to the reporter and copies to us.
- 10 Turro Exhibit 34, which is Chart 2, you need to
- get the original and a copy back to the reporter and copies
- 12 to us.
- Turro 37A, you need to get the original -- what's
- been marked as the original back to the reporter and copies
- $\sim$  15 to us.
  - And then you have to deal with Turro 38, 39 and
  - 17 40.
  - When you've done 33, 34 and 37A, well, I guess you
  - 19 can just write a cover letter and say here they are. That
  - 20 way I know that you've done it.
  - MR. NAFTALIN: Okay.
  - JUDGE STEINBERG: So you can write a letter to the
  - 23 reporting company saying here is the original and a copy,
  - 24 and them maybe one to us saying here are your copies. That
  - 25 way I know it's been done.

- 1 MR. NAFTALIN: Okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And I can cross it off of my "to
- 3 do" list.

- 4 Any questions?
  - 5 MR. NAFTALIN: No.
  - JUDGE STEINBERG: What I'm going to do, and this
  - 7 is based upon many, many years of experience, is I'm going
  - 8 to close the record at this time. At the time that you get
  - 9 me 38, 39 and 40, I will reopen it, presumably -- assuming
  - there is no objections, identify and receive the exhibits,
  - 11 and then reclose it. I am not leaving the record open for
  - 12 one second.
  - MR. NAFTALIN: Thank you.
  - MR. ARONOWITZ: Are you going to do this secretly
- 15 in your office?
  - JUDGE STEINBERG: Do what?
  - MR. ARONOWITZ: Open and shut.
  - JUDGE STEINBERG: It won't be secret because you
  - will get a piece of paper that says what I am doing.
  - Okay, anything further that we need to discuss?
  - 21 Mr. Aronowitz?
  - MR. ARONOWITZ: No, Your Honor.
  - JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Helmick?
  - MR. HELMICK: No, Your Honor.
  - JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Naftalin?

```
MR. NAFTALIN: No, Your Honor.
1
                JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Riley?
2
                MR. RILEY: No, Your Honor.
3
                JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, the record is closed, and
4
      I wish you all a very happy holiday season.
5
                ALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
6
                 (Whereupon, at 3:40 p.m., the hearing was
7
      concluded.)
8
 9
      //
      //
10
      11
11
      //
12
      //
13
      //
14
      //
15
      //
16
      //
17
18
      11
      //
19
20
      //
      //
21
      11
22
      //
23
      //
24
25
      //
```

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

FCC DOCKET NO.: 97 122

CASE TITLE:

Gerard A. Turro

HEARING DATE:

December 12, 1997

LOCATION:

Washington, D.C.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date:

12/22/97

Official Reporter

Heritage Reporting Corporation

1220 "L" Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

## 'TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence were fully and accurately transcribed from the tapes and notes provided by the above named reporter in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date:

12/22/97

Official Transcriber

Heritage Reporting Corporation

## PROOFREADER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the transcript of the proceedings and evidence in the above referenced case that was held before the Federal Communications Commission was proofread on the date specified below.

Date:

12/22/97

Official Prooffeader

Heritage Reporting Corporation